

On Residually Finite Generalized Free Products

R. J. Gregorac

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 24, No. 3. (Mar., 1970), pp. 553-555.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28197003%2924%3A3%3C553%3AORFGFP%3E2.0.CO%3B2-1

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ON RESIDUALLY FINITE GENERALIZED FREE PRODUCTS

R. J. GREGORAC

Let $S = A_v^*B$ be a nontrivial generalized free product of the groups A and B with amalgamated subgroup U and suppose A and B are residually finite groups. Baumslag [1] has given conditions sufficient for $S = A_v^*B$ to itself be residually finite and these have been used to investigate the residual finiteness of S by Baumslag [1] and Dyer [2], when the factors A and B of S are assumed to satisfy certain additional properties. The question then arises as to the necessity of these conditions. It is shown here that Baumslag's conditions are in fact necessary, provided A and B satisfy suitable identical relations.

A group G is residually finite if there exists a set $\{G_i | i \in I\}$ of normal subgroups of G such that G/G_i is finite for each $i \in I$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} G_i$ = 1; the set $\{G_i | i \in I\}$ is called a filter of G [1].

Baumslag has shown

THEOREM 1 [1]. Suppose A and B are residually finite groups with filters $\{A_i|i\in I\}$ and $\{B_i|j\in J\}$, respectively. The group $S=A_U^*B$ will be residually finite provided

(i) $\{A_i \cap U | i \in I\} = \{B_j \cap U | j \in J\}$ and

(ii) $\bigcap_I A_i U = U = \bigcap_J B_i U$.

Now suppose the nontrivial product $S = A_k^*B$ is residually finite with filter $\{S_k \mid k \in K\}$. Set $A_k = S_k \cap A$ and $B_k = S_k \cap B$ for each $k \in K$. Clearly $\{A_k \mid k \in K\}$ and $\{B_k \mid k \in K\}$ are filters of A and B satisfying (i) above. When do they also satisfy (ii)? Using the preceding notation we state

THEOREM 2. Let $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ be a nontrivial identical relation on B. Then $\bigcap_{k \in K} A_k U = U$ if

- (a) the index of U in B is greater than two, or
- (b) $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ is not an identical relation of the infinite dihedral group.

PROOF. First note that $\bigcap S_i = 1$ implies that any identical relation of B is an identical relation of $\bigcap_K BS_k = R$, for the map defined by $r \mapsto (rS_k)$ is a monomorphism of R into the cartesian product $\prod BS_k/S_k$.

Now $R_1 = \langle \bigcap_K UA_k, B \rangle \subseteq R$, because $A_k \subseteq S_k$, so R_1 satisfies the identical relation $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$. Put $X = \bigcap_K UA_k$. Then $R_1 = X_U^*B$. That X = U now follows from

LEMMA 3. Suppose the generalized free product $G = H_K^*L$, where $H \neq K \neq L$, satisfies a nontrivial identical relation. Then [H:K] = [L:K] = 2, and the infinite dihedral group satisfies the identical relation.

PROOF. Suppose [H:K] > 2 and let h_1 and h_2 be elements in two different cosets of K in H but not in K. Choose $y \in L \setminus K$. Then h_1yh_1y and h_2yh_2y freely generate a free subgroup F of G, so in this case G satisfies no nontrivial identical relation.

Thus if G does satisfy a nontrivial identical relation, then [H:K] = [L:K] = 2, so K is normal in both H and L. Thus G/K, which is the infinite dihedral group, must satisfy the identical relation as required.

As examples we note

THEOREM 4. Let A and B be finitely generated infinite nilpotent groups. Then $S = A_U^*B$ is residually finite if and only if

- (i) A and B have normal series $A = A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \cdots$, $B = B_0 \supseteq B_1 \supseteq \cdots$, such that $1 < [A_i: A_{i+1}], [B_i: B_{i+1}] < \infty$ for all i,
 - (ii) $\bigcap_i A_i = 1 = \bigcap_i B_i$,
 - (iii) $\{U \cap A_i\} = \{U \cap B_i\}$ and
 - (iv) $\bigcap_i UA_i = U = \bigcap_i UB_i$.

THEOREM 5. Let A and B be finitely generated infinite nilpotent groups and let p be a prime. Then $S = A_U^*B$ is residually a finite p-group if and only if (i) A and B have normal series $A = A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \cdots$, $B = B_0 \supseteq B_1 \supseteq \cdots$, such that $[A_i: A_{i+1}] = p = [B_i: B_{i+1}]$ for all i, and such that (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 4 hold.

COROLLARY 6. Let $S = gp(a, b | a^h = b^k)$ and let p be a prime. Then S is residually a finite p-group if and only if

- (a) both h and k are powers of p, or
- (b) h=1 or k=1.

Theorem 4 follows immediately from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. Theorem 5 follows from an easy extension of Theorem 1 using the main result of Higman [3]. The (well-known) corollary to Theorem 5 follows because property (iv) fails when $h \neq 1$ and $k \neq 1$ are not both powers of p.

Although special cases of Lemma 3 are well known (see for example [4, p. 217, Problem 10]), the proof of the general case given here is

due to Professor B. H. Neumann. I thank Professor Neumann for his help with this.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Baumslag, On the residual finiteness of generalised free products of nilpotent groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1963), 193-209. MR 26 #2489.
- 2. J. L. Dyer, On the residual finiteness of generalized free products, Trans. Amer. Math Soc. 133 (1968), 131-143.
 - 3. G. Higman, Amalgams of p-groups, J. Algebra 1 (1964), 301-305. MR 29 #4799.
- 4. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, Combinatorial group theory: Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 13, Interscience, New York, 1966. MR 34 #7617.

THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA